

(sources: COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors:
http://www.publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf and Publishing Ethics – Elsevier:
<http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/authorsview.authors/rights?tab=3#Duties of Authors>)

Duties of Editors

Publication decisions

The editor of the BioIT Journals is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism.

Fair play

An editor can any time evaluate manuscripts without regard to racial background, gender, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political background of the authors.

Confidentiality

The team of BioIT Journals and any editorial Member/staff must not disclose any data regards a submitted manuscript to other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and the publisher, as appropriate

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Corresponding authors are required to confirm whether they or their co-authors have any conflicts of interest to declare, and to provide details of these. It is the Corresponding author's responsibility to ensure that all authors agree to this policy.

- Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author;
- Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage;
- BioIT Journals Editors should recuse themselves (i.e. should ask a co-editor, associate editor or other member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) from considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers;

- Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern;
- Non-peer reviewed sections of their journal should be clearly identified.

Journal Self Citation

The editor of BioIT Journals should never conduct any practice that obliges authors to cite his or her journal either as an implied or explicit condition of acceptance for publication. Any recommendation regarding articles to be cited in a paper should be made on the basis of direct relevance to the author's article, with the objective of improving the final published research.

The editors should direct authors to relevant literature as part of the peer review process, however this should never extend to blanket instructions to cite individual journals.

Involvement & Cooperation in Investigations

An editor(s) of BioIT Journals should take reasonably responsive action when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the publisher (or society). Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.