

Research Article

Hypertension in People Consuming Hard Water in Haji Samoa Goth Ket-i-Bundar Sindh

**¹Muhammad Aqib Ikram, ²Muhammad Haider Ali
and ²Hafiz Zafar Mehmood**

¹Independent Medical College Faisalabad.

²Isra University, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of our study was to compare the presence of hypertension in people consuming hard water or fresh water and level of hardness, in individual water resources in Haji Samoa village near Ket-i-Bundar, Sindh, Pakistan.

Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted in Ket-i-Bundar in September 2014. There are two sources of water supply in the area; rain water / hard water. 340 people were included. People > 18 years of age, living in Ket-i-Bundar for > 5 years were included. People having chronic kidney disease, diabetes, or taking anti-hypertensive drugs/oral calcium supplements were excluded. Demographic features, Body Mass Index (BMI) and blood pressure were recorded. Water sample was checked for hardness. Hardness of > 180 ppm was considered to be very hard. Hypertension was compared between people consuming hard or fresh water. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Result: Out of 340 people, 80 (23.5%) had hypertension; in people consuming hard water 38 (20%) were hypertensive while 42 (28%) people consuming fresh water were hypertensive. This difference was not found to be statistically significant. While testing the hardness of water resources, the level of hardness was found to be very high, 3 out of 4 reservoirs; which were not suitable for human consumption.

Conclusion: We conclude that hypertension is no more common in people taking fresh water than hard water; but the underground well water in area of Ket-i-Bundar has high levels of hardness.

Keywords: Fresh water, hypertension, prehypertension, underground water

INTRODUCTION

Water is essential for hydration and therefore for life. The safe drinking water supply has high impact on protecting human health. It is estimated that approximately 17% of the world's population uses water from the unprotected and remote sources, that means approximately, 884 million people lack access to safe water supplies; approximately one in eight people. Among them large number of people consume hard water. Hard water is usually defined as underground water, which contains a high concentration of calcium and magnesium salts. However, several other dissolved metals can cause hardness; those forms divalent or multivalent cations, including

aluminum, barium, strontium, iron, zinc, and manganese. Some impurities of underground water can be significant etiological factor for diseases such as gastrointestinal problems, reproductive failure, neural diseases, and renal dysfunction. However some minerals especially high levels of calcium and magnesium salts in water have protective effect on hypertension and ischemic heart disease. It is thought that higher content of calcium and magnesium salts in hard water decreases vascular tone and thus protective against hypertension. Number of large-scale studies show protective effect of hardness of drinking-water on hypertension and cardiovascular disease. However,

no clear association has been found in some other studies the variability in the results is likely because of different ecological and geographical settings, having different forms and concentrations of minerals. Most of the studies available reflect European data; Asian and African data is very sparse. To date there is no study Pakistan regarding protective effect of hard water on in blood pressure. Since the results are highly variable with ecological environment, studies from local areas will be of great value to get the knowledge about, effects of using hard water on blood pressure levels. The primary objective of our study was to compare the presence of hypertension in people consuming hard water or fresh water and secondarily measuring level of hardness, in individual water resources in Haji Samoa Village, Ketibundar, Sindh Pakistan

SUBJECTS AND METHOD

A cross sectional descriptive study was conducted at Haji Samoa village, rural area of Ketibundar in September 2014. This village is 150 away from metropolitan city Karachi, estimated population of the area is 2500; out of which 1500 are adults; the people of area mostly belong to low socioeconomic class; farmers and laborers by profession; with low literacy rate, lack of health awareness and limited accessibility to healthcare facilities. The reason for selecting Ketibundar are away, the population was using both hard and soft water, making comparison of hypertension easy. There are two main sources of water supply in Ketibundar area; people living in Southern area of village mainly consume fresh water from small local reservoir i.e. rainwater; while in rest of the area relies on hard water from four hand pumps. Our sample size was estimated to be 300 subjects (representing 20% of given population) on the basis of National Health Survey in Pakistan⁹ but 340 people approached us so we included all of them in study. Sampling technique was purposive on-probability sampling. Inclusion criteria comprised people >18 years of age, living in Ketibundar for at least 5 years. People having chronic kidney disease, diabetes, or taking anti-hypertensive drugs/oral calcium supplements were

excluded. Informed consent was taken before enrolling in the study, participants were reassured that their identification will not be disclosed and collected information will be used for research purpose only. We collected information regarding demographic features age, gender, risk factors for hypertension like smoking, and family history of hypertension. We inquired about type of water being consumed (well water or fresh water), average daily intake of water measured by number of glass/day; rough estimate of daily salt intake (high salt diet/pinches of table salt with meals). Body Mass Index (BMI) was measured. Blood pressure was recorded by an aneroid sphygmomanometer from right arm. Two readings were taken with interval of 5 minutes, taking the mean value as final reading. Hypertension was classified by Joint National Commission Report (JNC7)¹⁰. Blood pressure of <120/80 mmHg was considered normal, 120-139 mmHg systolic and 80-89 diastolic was considered pre-hypertension and >140/90 mmHg was considered hypertension. Systolic blood pressure 140-159 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 90-99 mmHg was considered Stage 1, while values > 160/100 mmHg was considered as Stage 2 hypertension¹⁰. Water sample was taken from soft water reservoir as well as from four hard water hand pumps and tested for hardness classification of water hardness was done on the basis of proposed classification. Hardness of >180 ppm (parts per million) was considered to be very hard and unsafe for human consumption. Data was analyzed in SPSS version 17. Quantitative variables; age, daily water intake and BMI was presented as mean. While qualitative variables Gender, smoking, family history of hypertension high salt intake and classification of hypertension was presented in frequency and percentages. To compare student t-test was applied on quantitative data while chi-square test was applied on qualitative data. $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant

RESULT

Total 340 people were included in the study out of which 216 (64%) were male and 124 (36%) were

female; mean age was 42.63 ± 12.2 . 19056%) were using hard water; while 150 (44%) were using fresh water. In hard water group 60 (31.5%) were smokers while in fresh water group 6241.3%) were smokers ($p=0.16$). Mean BMI was 29.9 in hard water group while 27.52 in fresh water group ($p=0.23$). On comparing daily water consumption, there was significant difference in average daily water intake people taking fresh water were consuming average 1400 ml/day while people consuming hard water were consuming 900 ml/day ($p=0.01$). There was no significant difference between dietary salt intakes ($p=0.457$) as shown in (Table 1).

The results of average blood pressure readings shows that out of total 340 people; 80 (23.5%) had hypertension.

In people consuming hard water 38(20%) had hypertension, out of which 12% had prehypertension, 6% had stage I and 2% had stage I hypertension. From people consuming fresh water 42 (28%) people had hypertension, 17% had prehypertension, 7% had stage 1 and 4% had stage 2 hypertension. Although hypertension was slightly more common in people taking soft water but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.23$). As shown in (Table 2.) and Fig. 1. While testing the water resources, the level of hardness was found to be very high, 3 out of 4 reservoirs; which were not suitable for human consumption. More importantly; nearly half 168 (49%) people were using the water from the unsafe reservoirs. The safe level of hardness (120-180 ppm) was found to be in fresh water reservoir and only one hand pump used by 172 (51%) people, as shown in (Table 3.).


DISCUSSION

Safe and healthy water is basic right of every human being. It is estimated that only 51% people consume safe and protected water. Hard water is defined as underground water rich in minerals

especially calcium and magnesium salts. The high concentration of calcium and magnesium in water is crucial factor indicating the hardness although many other minerals are responsible for hardness like zinc, cadmium, sulphates and phosphates. Hardness generally enters groundwater as the water percolates through minerals containing calcium or magnesium.

The most common sources of hardness are limestone (which introduces calcium into the water) and dolomite (which introduces magnesium). Number of studies have postulated that magnesium and calcium supplementation in water and diet is not only protective against cardiovascular disease and hypertension but also lower the blood pressure in people with pre-existing hypertension. It has been thought that magnesium, as well as calcium, decrease in vascular smooth muscle tone, thus reducing risk of hypertension and coronary artery disease. Protective role of hard water on blood pressure has been studied in few studies. Since the concentration and composition of hardness extremely varies in different ecological and geographical boundaries, that's why the results are also variable. In our study we observed that hypertension was slightly more common (28%) in people taking soft fresh water as compared to people taking hard water (20%) but statistically the difference was insignificant. Unfortunately there is paucity of national and international research on this topic.

Kawano et al. conducted a study on 67 people also concluded that protective effect of hard water was small and significant. Moment et al. conducted a study in Ireland. Rylander et al. conducted a study in Sweden observed that hard water had favorable effects on cardiovascular disease and blood pressure. Sauvage et al., Monarca et al., Lake et al. and Tubek et al. in quantitative reviews of several epidemiological as well as analytical studies observed



the benefits effect of calcium and magnesium contents in water on cardiovascular mortality as well as hypertension. However Morris et al conducted a study in 2008 also found no benefit association between hypertension and hardness of water. In our study we also observed that people taking hard water were taking considerable less amount of water than daily recommended largely because of altered taste and remote accessibility so they are at risk of developing dehydration, low blood pressure and renal stones. During analysis of water from different sources, we observed that out of four hand pumps; only one hand pump was supplying water with safer levels of hardness. Hard water has no serious adverse health effects except for bad taste and gastrointestinal symptoms like diarrhea. A WHO report says in 2008 Geneva Conference but the knowledge of individual electrolytes responsible for hardness is important; especially harmful like cadmium, arsenic, lead levels need to be known if using underground well water. Unfortunately because of lack of financial resources we were not able to measure the levels of individual electrolytes in water supplies. By conducting this study we addressed the topic first time in Pakistan and it will draw attention of local researchers in same and related objectives. In future, further studies should be designed in different geographical settings with adequate resources and measurement of toxic minerals like arsenic, lead etc., moreover the use of bottled mineral water and its effect on blood pressure also need to be studied.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that hypertension is no more

common in people taking fresh water than hard water; in area of Ket-Bundar, but the level of hardness is inappropriately high in most water resources

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to volunteer students and Department of Community Medicine Jinnah medical and dental college for great support in data collection and analysis. Authors are also thankful to Mr. Wajahat Shah for help in data analysis and manuscript writing

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Author has no conflict of interest and no funding/grant from any organization

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Progress on drinking water and sanitation: Special focus on sanitation [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization 2008. Available from: <http://www.bvsde.paho.org/bvsaca/fulltext/progress.pdf>. Accessed on September 23, 2014
2. Sengupta P. Potential health impacts of hard water. *Int J Prev Med* 2013; 4:866-75
3. Leoni V, Fabiani L, Ticchiarelli L. Water hardness and cardiovascular mortality rate in Abruzzo, Italy. *Arch Environ Health* 1985; 40:274-8
4. Masironi R, Pisa Z, Clayton D. Myocardial infarction and water hardness in the WHO myocardial infarction registry network. *Bull World Health Organ* 1979; 57:291-9

5. Kubis M. [Relation of water hardness to the occurrence of acute myocardial infarct]. *Acta Univ Palacki Olomuc Fac Med* 1985; 111:321-4
6. Sengupta P, Sahoo S. A Cross Sectional Study to Evaluate the Fitness Pattern among the Young Fishermen of Coastal Orissa. *Indian J Public Health Research and Development*. 2013; 4:171-5
7. Mackinnon AU, Taylor SH. Relationship between 'sudden' coronary deaths and drinking water hardness in five Yorkshire cities and towns. *Int J Epidemiology* 1980; 9:247-9
8. Sonneborn M, Mandelkow J. German studies on health effects of inorganic drinking water constituents. *Sci Total Environ* 1981; 18:47-60
9. Nuruddin R, Azam I. National health survey of Pakistan (1990-94): Health profile of the people of Pakistan. Islamabad: Network publication service; 1998.0. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al. Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension* 2003; 42:1206-52
11. Judith C. Stewart, T. Lemley, Sharon I. Hogan, Richard A. Based on a table in *Water Testing and Interpretation: The Secondary Drinking Water Standards*. Weissmuller Cornell University and the University of Maryland, 1988
http://web.aces.uiuc.edu/vista/pdf_pubs/SAFE_WTR.PDF. Accessed on September, 2014.
12. World Health Organization. Meeting of experts on the possible protective effect of hard water against cardiovascular disease [Internet]. Geneva: world Health Organization; 2006. Available from: <https://www.google.com/url>. Accessed on September 28, 2014. Prepas EE, Pinel-Alloul B, Chambers PA, Murphy TP, Reedyk S, Sandland G, et al. Lime treatment and its effects on the chemistry and biota of hardwater eutrophic lakes. *Freshwater Biology* 2001; 46:1049-60.
14. Rasic-Milutinovic Z, Perunicic-Pekovic G, Jovanovic D, Gluvic Z, Cankovic-Kadijevic M. Association of blood pressure and metabolic syndrome components with magnesium levels in drinking water in some Serbian municipalities. *J Water Health* 2012; 10:161-9
15. Kawano Y, Yoshimi H, Matsuoka H, Takishita S, Omae T. Calcium supplementation in patients with essential hypertension: assessment by office, home and ambulatory blood pressure. *J Hypertens* 1998; 16:1693-9
16. Itoh K, Kawasaki I, Nakamura M. The effects of high oral magnesium supplementation on blood pressure, serum lipids and related variables in apparently healthy Japanese subjects. *Br J Nutr* 1997; 78:737-50
17. Momeni M, Gharedaghi Z, Amin MM, Poursafa P, Mansourian M. Does Water Hardness Have Preventive Effect on Cardiovascular Disease? *Int J Prev Med* 2014; 52:159-63.
18. Rylander R, Arnaud MJ. Mineral water intake reduces blood pressure among subjects with low urinary magnesium and calcium levels. *BMC Public Health* 2004; 4:56.
19. Sauvant MP, Pepin D. Drinking water and cardio-vascular disease. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2002; 40:1311-25. Monarca S, Donato F, Zerbini I, Calderon RL, Craun GF. Review of epidemiological studies on drinking water hardness and cardiovascular diseases. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2006; 13:495-506
21. Lake IR, Swift L, Catling LA, Abubakar I, Sabel CE, Hunter PR. Effect of water hardness on cardiovascular mortality: An ecological time series approach. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2010; 32:479-87. Tubek S. Role of trace elements in primary arterial hypertension: is mineral water style or prophylaxis? *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2006; 114:1-5. Morris RW, Walker M, Lennon LT, Shaper AG, Whincup PH. Hard drinking water does not protect against cardiovascular disease: new evidence from the British Regional Heart Study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2008; 15:185-9.