

Research Article

Comparison of Post-Operative Pain Between Skin Staples and Polypropylene Suture in Patients of Inguinal Hernia for Securing Mesh

**Muhammad Khalid Iqbal, Muhammad Sajid Hameed Ansari
and Muhammad Hussnain Iqbal**

¹Senior Registrar, Department of Surgery, Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, DG Khan

²Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, DG Khan

³Senior Registrar, Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, DG Khan

[Received: 02/12/2018; Accepted: 06/01/2019; Published: 07/01/2019]

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Inguinal hernias, constituting 73% of all external hernias are a common clinical problem. Surgery is the treatment of choice for hernia in order to prevent complications. Inguinal hernia repair is the most common operation undertaken in routine surgical practice with an annual incidence of 13 per 1000 population of all ages. The Lichtenstein hernioplasty consists of reduction of the hernia contents followed by reinforcement of the inguinal floor with a prosthetic mesh and creation of a new internal ring.

Objective: To compare the polypropylene suture and skin staples for securing mesh in Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty in terms of post-operative pain.

Study design: Randomized Controlled Trial

Settings: Department of Surgery Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, DG Khan.

Duration of study: From March 2018 to September 2018

Results: Total 266 patients inguinal hernia were selected for this study. Mean age of the patients was 34.37 ± 10.95 years, mean weight, height, BMI, duration of hernia and VAS was 69.26 ± 16.690 Kg, 160.65 ± 9.368 cm, 26.79 ± 5.879 , 5.52 ± 3.312 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Comparison of frequency of post-operative pain between both groups was done. Pain was noted in 39 (29.3%) patients of group A and in 59 (44.4%) patients of group B. Statistically significant ($P = 0.0155$) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted

Conclusion: Results of this study showed there is a significant difference between Polypropylene suture and skin staples for securing mesh in lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty in term of post-operative pain. Statistically significant difference was noted between the post-operative pain in male patients of both groups but the difference was insignificant in female patients.

Key words: Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty. Polypropylene suture. Skin staples. Postoperative pain

INTRODUCTION

External hernias (EH) are one of the common surgical problem and inguinal hernias accounted for about 73% cases.¹ Surgery is the best option for prevention of hernia's complications.^{2,3} Inguinal hernia repair is one of the commonly performed

surgeries. Annual incidence rate of inguinal hernia is 13/1000 patients.¹ By the year 1989, tension-free hernioplasty was started at the Lichtenstein Hernia Institute to reduce the post operative pain, recurrence and recovery

period.⁵The Lichtenstein hernioplasty consists of reduction of the hernia contents followed by reinforcement of the inguinal floor with a prosthetic mesh and creation of a new internal ring.⁶ Polypropylene suture is standard way of securing mesh in position on the posterior wall of the inguinal canal.^{7,8}With the recent advancement, a modified hernioplasty using skin staples for the anchorage of mesh is under trial which may decrease post-operative pain and operative time.³ A recent study compared the results of both methods of mesh fixation. It showed that the technique of mesh fixation with skin staples is as effective as conventional fixation with polypropylene sutures with an important added advantage of fewer complications.³

As inguinal hernia is a common clinical problem, new innovations in the surgical intervention are developing day by day in order to benefit the patients by reducing the postoperative pain. The results of this study may guide us that which one procedure has less pain. So, the surgeons adopt that procedure with less pain.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This randomized controlled trial was conducted at Department of Surgery Dera Ghazi Khan Medical College, DG Khan from March 2018 to September 2018. Total 266 patients of inguinal hernia either male or female and having age 20-60 years were selected for this randomized controlled. Patients having inguino-scrotal swelling (reducible, non-tender) were labelled as having inguinal hernia.

Patients having complicated (irreducible, strangulated or obstructed) inguinal hernia, all the patients with history of diabetes mellitus, patients with history of chronic renal failure, patients with history of bleeding disorders, immunocompromised and patients with history of recurrent and bilateral inguinal hernia were excluded from the study. All the selected patients were into two equal groups A & B randomly.

Patients of group A were managed with skin staples and patients of group B were managed with polypropylene suture. After surgery for pain

management, Injection diclofenac sodium 75mg IM BD was given.

Assessment of postoperative pain was done by using VAS (Visual Analogue Scale) and score 4 and above was taken as pain at the end of 24 hours of surgery. After 24 hours of surgery post-operative pain was assessed and recorded on pre-designed proforma along with demographic profile of all the patients.

All the collected data was analysed by using SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age and frequencies were calculated for gender and post-operative pain (Yes/No). Chi-square test was applied to compare the frequency of post-operative pain in both groups. Stratification was done for age and gender and chi-square test was applied to see the effect of these on postoperative pain. P-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Total 266 cases of inguinal hernia were selected for this study. Patients were equally divided into two groups A & B. Patients of group A were managed with skin staples and patients of group B were managed with polypropylene suture and post-operative pain was noted on after 24 hours of surgery. Mean age of the patients was 34.37 ± 10.95 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.52 ± 3.312 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Mean age of the patients of Group A was 34.91 ± 10.886 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.53 ± 3.327 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Mean age of the patients of Group B was 33.83 ± 11.033 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.50 ± 3.309 years and 4.53 ± 2.843 respectively.

Comparison of frequency of post-operative pain between both groups was done. Post-operative pain was noted in 39 (29.3%) patients of group A and in 59 (44.4%) patients of group B. Statistically significant ($P = 0.02$) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted. (Table 1)

In age group 20-40 years, out of 100 patients of group A, post-operative pain was noted in 33 (33%) patients. Out of 107 patients of group B, post-operative pain was noted in 49 (45.8%) patients. Difference between post-operative pain for both groups was statistically in significant with p value 0.0658.

In age group 41-60 years, out of 33 patients of group A, post-operative pain was noted in 6 (18.2%) patients. Out of 26 patients of group B, post-operative pain was noted in 10 (38.5%)

Table 1: Comparison of frequency of post-operative pain

Group	Post-operative pain		Total	P. Value
	Yes (%)	No (%)		
A (Staple Group)	39 29.3%	94 70.7%	133 100.0%	0.02
B (Polypropylene Group)	59 44.4%	74 55.6%	133 100.0%	

patients. Difference between post-operative pain for both groups was statistically in significant with p value 0.1391. (Table 2)

After comparing post-operative pain between male patients of both groups, significant (P = 0.0243) difference was noted between the post-operative pain in both groups but insignificant (P = 0.6372) difference was noted between the post-operative pain for female patients of both groups. (Table 3).

Table 2: Age distribution

Group	Post-operative pain		Total
	Yes (%)	No (%)	
20-40 years (P= 0.07)			
A	33 33.0%	67 67.0%	100
B	49 45.8%	58 54.2%	107
41-60 years (P = 0.14)			
A	6 18.2%	27 81.8%	33
B	10 38.5%	16 61.5%	26

Table 3: Gender distribution

Group	Post-operative pain		Total
	Yes (%)	No (%)	
Male (P = 0.02)			
A	36 28.8%	89 71.2%	125 100.0%
B	53 43.1%	70 56.9%	123 100.0%
Female (P = 0.63)			
A	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	8 100.0%
B	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	10 100.0%

DISCUSSION

About 5% of male population of the world affected by inguinal hernia.⁹ About 100 years ago, Edward Bassini described the open method of inguinal herniorrhaphy.¹⁰ Many changes have been made to this surgical procedure in the interim, with varying degrees of efficacy.¹¹ Lichtenstein described the tension-free inguinal hernia repair with the help of prosthetic mesh.¹² Originally, the

mesh is fixed on the posterior wall of inguinal canal with the help of polypropylene 2/0 suture.¹³ But Quality of life has increasingly been a matter of consideration in the assessment of medical, and above all, surgical procedures. In inguinal hernia repair, several factors of postoperative quality of life, such as pain and recovery, have recently been assessed.¹⁴ A new modification in the repair of inguinal hernia has been developed in which

prolene mesh is being fixed on the posterior wall of inguinal canal with staples instead of polypropylene suture.¹⁵

Total 266 cases of inguinal hernias were selected for this study. Patients were equally divided into two groups A & B. Patients of group A were managed with skin staples and patients of group B were managed with polypropylene suture and post-operative pain was noted.

In our study, average age of the cases was 34.37 ± 10.95 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.52 ± 3.312 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Average age of the cases of Group A was 34.91 ± 10.886 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.53 ± 3.327 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Average age of the cases of Group B was 33.83 ± 11.033 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.50 ± 3.309 years and 4.53 ± 2.843 respectively.

In one study by Khan et al⁶ mean age of the cases managed with polypropylene suture was 48.99 ± 14.27 years and mean age of the cases managed with skin staple was 46.37 ± 14.12 years. In another study by Bawahab et al¹⁶, mean age of the cases was 35.83 ± 13.338 years

In present study, post-operative pain was noted in 29.3% patients of group A (Skin staple group) and in 44.4% patients of group B (polypropylene group). Statistically significant ($P = 0.0155$) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted.

In one study by Khan et al,⁶ postoperative pain was noted in 23.3% cases managed with polypropylene group and in staple group, postoperative pain was noted in 29.3% cases. Findings of this study are in agreement with our study. Similarly Zwaal et al reported that postoperative pain was reduced when staples were used to fix the mesh 66% for polypropylene group versus 51% for staple group.³ This study showed that the technique of mesh fixation with skin staples is as effective as conventional fixation with polypropylene sutures with an important added advantage; fewer complications or postoperative pain.³ Shaikh et al¹⁷ also found

significant difference between post-operative pain between the both groups after surgery.

Damaniet al¹⁸ also reported that postoperative pain was less in skin staples group than in Polypropylene suture group. Interpretation of the results of our study shows that anchoring mesh with staples in Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty is superior as compared to fixation with polypropylene suture in term of post-operative pain.

On the other hand, Shivhare et al¹⁹ reported in their study insignificant difference in post-operative pain between the polypropylene and skin staple group. In another study, Mills et al²⁰ also reported insignificant difference between postoperative pain of both groups. Similarly Garg et al²¹ reported insignificant difference between the post operative pain of both groups.

CONCLUSION

Results of this study revealed that post-operative pain rate was significantly high in Polypropylene as compared to skin staple group. Significant difference was seen between the male patients of both groups but the difference was insignificant between female patients of both groups. Similarly difference in younger age group as significant but insignificant in older age group for both study groups.

REFERENCES

1. Bierca J, Kosim A, Kołodziejczak M, Zmora J, Kultys E. Effectiveness of Lichtenstein repairs in planned treatment of giant inguinal hernia – own experience. *WideochirInne Tech Maloinwazyjne*. 2013 Mar;8(1):36–42.
2. Kingsnorth AN, Giorgobiani G, Bennet DH. Hernia, umbilicus and abdominal wall. In: Williams NS, Bulstrode CJ, Connel PR, editors. *Bailey and Love short practice of surgery*. 25th ed. London: Champion Hall; 2008.p.968-90.
3. Zwaal PV, Berg IR, Plaisier PW, Nolthenius RP. Mesh fixation using staples in Lichtenstein's inguinal hernioplasty: fewer

- complications and fewer recurrences. *Hernia* 2008;12:391.
4. Fitzgibbons RJ Jr, Giobbie-Hurder A, Gibbs JO, Dunlop DD, Reda DJ, McCarthy M Jr, et al. Watchful waiting vs. repair of inguinal hernia in minimally symptomatic men: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2006;295:285-92.
 5. Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M, Bouillot JL, Campanelli G, Conze J, et al. European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. *Hernia*. 2009 Aug;13(4):343–403.
 6. Khan AA, Majeed S, Shahzadi M, Hussain SM, Ali MZ, Siddique K. Polypropylene suture versus skin staples for securing mesh in lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak*. 2014;24(2):88–90.
 7. Kurzer M, Kark A, Hussain T. Inguinal hernia repair. *J PerioperPract* 2007;17:321-30.
 8. Matthews RD, Neumayer L. Inguinal hernia in the 21st century: an evidence-based review. *CurrProblSurg* 2008;45:261-312.
 9. Maingot R. Operations for inguinal hernia. New York: *McGraw-Hill*; 1980.
 10. Bassini D, editor. Nuovometodo per la curaradical dell'erniainguinale. Padua: *StabilimentoProsperini*; 1889.
 11. Danielsson P, Isacson S, Hansen MV. Randomised study of Lichtenstein compared with Shouldiceinguinal hernia repair by surgeons in training. *Eur J Surg*1999; 165:49-53.
 12. Rossner F, Munter S. The medical aphorisms of moesmainonides. New York: *Yeshiva University Press*; 1970.
 13. Matthews RD, Neumayer L. Inguinal hernia in the 21st century: an evidence-based review. *CurrProblSurg*2008; 45:261-312.
 14. Callesen T, Bech K, Nielsen R, Andersen J, Hesselfeldt P, Roikjaer O, et al. Pain after groin hernia repair. *Br J Surg*1998;85:1412-4.
 15. Zieren J, Küpper F, Paul M, Neuss H, Müller JM. Inguinal hernia: obligatory indication for elective surgery? A prospective assessment of quality of life before and after plug and patch inguinal hernia repair. *Langenbecks Arch Surg*2003; 387:417-20.
 16. Bawahab MA, El Maksoud WMA. Evaluation of chronic postoperative pain after hernia repair using self fixating mesh for management of primary inguinal hernia in adult males. *Pak J Surg*. 2013;29(3):159–164.
 17. Shaikh FA, Alvi AR, Jiwani ASA, Murtaza G. Recurrence and chronic pain after mesh fixation with skin staples versus sutures in Lichtenstein's inguinal hernioplasty: a retrospective cohort study. *Hernia*. 2013 Jun;17(3):307–11.
 18. Damani SAR, Haider S, Shah SSH. Outcome of Mesh Anchoring Using Stainless Steel Skin Staples Versus Polypropylene Suturing in Lichtenstein's Tension Free Inguinal Hernia Repair. *Journal of Surgery Pakistan (International)*. 2016;21:2.
 19. Shivhare P, Dugg P, Mittal S, Singh H, Kumar A. A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED STUDY COMPARING SKIN STAPLES VERSUS POLYPROPYLENE SUTURES FOR SECURING THE MESH IN LICHTENSTEIN'S REPAIR. *Archives of Clinical and Experimental Surgery (ACES)*. 2014;3(3):1.
 20. Mills IW, McDermott IM, Ratliff DA. Prospective randomized controlled trial to compare skin staples and polypropylene for securing the mesh in inguinal hernia repair. *Br J Surg* 1998;85:790-792.
 21. Garg CP, Bhatnagar AM, Parmar CD, Darshan JR, Sehgal RA. Comparative study of skin staples and polypropylene sutures for securing the mesh in lichtenstein's tension free inguinal hernia repair: A Prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. *Indian J Surg* 2004;66: 152-155.

