

### Research Article

## **A comprehensive study on incidence and risk factors of seroma formation in breast cancer surgery patients**

**Mumtaz Ahmad Khan<sup>1</sup>, Shaista Zafar<sup>1</sup>, Umber Rafiq<sup>2</sup>,  
Namrah Mahmood<sup>3</sup> and Iqra Shahjahan<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor Department of Surgery Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad

<sup>2</sup>Senior Registrar department of Surgery Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad

<sup>3</sup>Postgraduate Resident, Department of Surgery KRL Hospital Islamabad

<sup>4</sup>Postgraduate Resident, Department of Ophthalmology KRL Hospital Islamabad

**Corresponding author:** Dr. Mumtaz Ahmad Khan, Associate Professor Department of Surgery Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad.

[Received: 06/02/2019; Accepted: 22/02/2019; Published: 24/02/2019]

### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death among women. The surgical treatment of choice for these patients is either modified radical mastectomy or breast preservation depending upon stage of the disease. **Aims and objectives:** The basic aim of the study is to analyze the incidence and risk factors of seroma formation in breast cancer surgery patients. **Methodology of the study:** This analytical study was conducted during August 2018 to December 2018. This study was conducted with the permission of ethical committee of hospital and by informing the patients. There were total 120 patients from the age group 40 to 50 years selected for this study. All patients undergoing surgical therapy were included in this study. Level II axillary lymph node dissection was performed for both groups. None of the patients underwent immediate reconstruction. The demographic data and clinical information were extracted from case records. **Results:** The data was collected from 120 breast cancer patients who undergo surgery. The mean age range for this study is 46.3 years. One hundred patients (83%) underwent MRM and BP was performed in 20 (17%) patients. The axillary node involvement was significantly different between MRM and BP patients ( $\chi^2 = 4.52$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ) indicating that those who underwent MRM had higher rate of positive axillary nodes compared to those who received BP. Thirty-one mastectomies were performed by scalpel dissection of the skin flap. Sixty-six percent of patients (66%) were node positive and the remaining 34% (34%) were node negative. **Conclusion:** It is concluded that seroma formation after breast cancer surgery is independent of duration of drainage, compression dressing and other known prognostic factors in breast cancer patients except the type of surgery.

**Key words:** Seroma, Breast, Cancer, Patients

### **INTRODUCTION**

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death among women. The surgical treatment of choice for these patients is either modified radical mastectomy or breast

preservation depending upon stage of the disease. Seroma formation is the most frequent postoperative complication after breast cancer surgery<sup>1</sup>. It occurs in most patients after

mastectomy and is now increasingly being considered side effect of surgery rather than a complication however, all patients are not clinically symptomatic<sup>2</sup>. Seroma is defined as a serous fluid collection that develops under the skin flaps during mastectomy or in the axillary dead space after axillary dissection. Incidence of seroma formation after breast surgery varies between 2.5% and 51%<sup>3</sup>.

Carcinoma of the breast is a disease of multifactorial origin where heritage, hormonal influence on the mammary glands and lifestyle factors all contribute to the development. There are several established risk factors: early onset puberty, Hormone Replacement Therapy, alcohol and late onset menopause<sup>4</sup>. In 5-10% of all breast cancers, heritage is the major etiological factor where BRCA1 and BRCA2 are common risk genes. Together inherited abnormalities in BRCA1 and BRCA2 are found in 2.5 -5% of all breast cancers<sup>5</sup>.

Seroma formation has been troubling patients and surgeons for over a century, since the first mastectomy was performed by Halsted in 1882. Seroma is defined as a subcutaneous collection of serous fluid post-mastectomy under the skin flap, in the dead space of the axilla or the breast following breast-conserving surgery<sup>6</sup>. Seroma is a common complication following breast cancer surgery and several surgeons consider it an 'unavoidable nuisance'. Seroma may prolong patient recovery and hospital stay, increase health care costs and possibly delay the administration of systemic treatment where required<sup>7</sup>. The controllable predictive factors for seroma formation remain unknown.

There are four molecular subtypes of breast cancer. They are luminal A, luminal B, HER2 and basal-like breast cancers<sup>8</sup>. The luminal breast cancers are characterized by a high expression of hormone receptors (ER and PR). Luminal B is then separated from luminal A by the expression of HER2 and/or Ki67. Both luminal A and B constitutes roughly 70% of invasive breast carcinomas<sup>9</sup>.

### **Aims and objectives**

The basic aim of the study is to analyze the incidence and risk factors of seroma formation in breast cancer surgery patients.

### **Methodology of the study**

This analytical study was conducted August 2018 to December 2018. This study was conducted with the permission of ethical committee of hospital and by informing the patients. There were total 120 patients from the age group 40 to 50 years selected for this study. All patients undergoing surgical therapy were included in this study. Level II axillary lymph node dissection was performed for both groups. None of the patients underwent immediate reconstruction. The demographic data and clinical information were extracted from case records. Axillary seroma was defined as any clinically apparent fluid collection in the axilla or under the skin flaps and was treated with multiple needle aspirations. Seroma formation was studied in relation to age, type of surgery, tumor size, nodal involvement, preoperative chemotherapy, surgical instrument (electrocautery or scalpel), use of pressure garment, and duration of drainage.

### **Exclusion criteria**

1. All those patients who were suffering from any major medical problem other than breast cancer.
2. All those patients who done chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded from this study.

### **Statistical analysis**

To analyze data univariate odds ratio (or relative risk) was calculated using Chi-square tests or regression analysis and this was followed by the multivariate logistic regression analysis to evaluate independent risk factors related to seroma formation.

### **RESULTS**

The data was collected from 120 breast cancer patients who undergo surgery. The mean age range for this study is 46.3 years. One hundred patients (83%) underwent MRM and BP was

performed in 20 (17%) patients. The axillary node involvement was significantly different between MRM and BP patients ( $\chi^2 = 4.52$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ) indicating that those who underwent MRM had higher rate of positive axillary nodes compared to those who received BP. Thirty-one mastectomies

were performed by scalpel dissection of the skin flap. Two closed suction drains were placed in all patients undergoing surgery. Sixty-six percent of patients (66%) were node positive and the remaining 34% (34%) were node negative.

**Table 1:** Characteristics of patients in seroma and no seroma groups.

	Seroma group	No seroma group	OR (95% CI)*	p value**
<b>Age (years)</b>				0.22
40-49	20 (36.4)	38 (36.9)	1.49 (0.63-3.49)	
>50	23 (41.8)	31 (30.1)	2.10 (0.89-4.92)	
<b>Tumor size (cm)</b>				0.64
<2	21 (38.2)	47 (45.6)	1.00 (ref.)	
2-5	21 (38.2)	34 (33.0)	1.42 (0.67-3.01)	
>5	13 (23.6)	22 (21.3)	1.26 (0.53-2.96)	
<b>Nodal involvement</b>				0.31
No	14 (26.4)	34 (36.8)	1.00 (ref.)	
Yes	39 (73.6)	65 (65.7)	1.45 (0.69-3.04)	
<b>Surgical procedure</b>				0.03
Breast conservation	10 (18.2)	33 (32.0)	1.00 (ref.)	

The multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that operative time was significantly associated with the incidence of seroma postoperatively ( $P=0.0066$ , coefficient = 0.03,  $OR=1.03$ ), with an increase in operative time by 10 min being associated with a 30% higher risk of seroma formation.

**Table 02:** Potential risk factors for breast cancer seroma formation

Characteristics	Coefficient	Standard error	OR (95% CI)	P-value
<b>Demographics</b>				
Age	0.00	0.05	1.00 (0.90-1.10)	0.9277
<b>Menstrual status</b>				
Postmenopausal	-0.07.	0.63	0.87 (0.08-10.15)	0.9116
Premenopausal	-	-	-	-
Body weight	0.03	0.06	1.03 (0.92-1.15)	0.6150
Body height	-0.20.	0.09	0.82 (0.68-0.98)	0.0272 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Clinical characteristics</b>				
Serum albumin	-0.08.	0.08	0.92 (0.79-1.07)	0.2951
Hemoglobin	0.00	0.04	1.00 (0.92-1.07)	0.9054
<b>Tumor diameter</b>				
<2 cm	-0.10.	0.80	1.91 (0.08-46.56)	0.3700
2-5 cm	0.84	0.59	4.86 (0.35-67.87)	-
>5 cm	-	-	-	-
<b>Axillary lymph node status</b>				
Positive	0.11	0.51	0.80 (0.11-5.86)	0.8260
Negative	-	-	-	-
<b>Hypertension</b>				
Yes	0.34	0.61	1.99 (0.18-22.12)	0.5747
No	-	-	-	-
<b>Diabetes mellitus</b>				
Yes	0.63	0.96	3.53 (0.08-149.35)	0.5095
No	-	-	-	-

Therapeutic factors				
Operative time	0.03	0.01	1.03 (1.01–1.05)	0.0066 <sup>a</sup>
Initial 48 h–drain output	0.00	0.00	1.01 (1.00–1.01)	0.1630
Duration of drain <i>in situ</i>	0.06	0.07	1.06 (0.93–1.20)	0.3948
Intravenous analgesia				
Yes	-1.81.	0.49	0.03 (0.00–0.18)	0.0002 <sup>a</sup>
No	-	-	-	-

## DISCUSSION

Seroma is one of the most common complications following breast cancer surgery<sup>10</sup>. The precise etiology of seroma formation remains unknown and it may delay the initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy, predispose to wound infection, delay wound healing and may also be associated with arm lymphoedema, which may be the cause of unnecessary tribulation and worse patient outcome<sup>11-13</sup>. Although seroma is considered to consist of lymphatic fluid due to lymphatic vessel damage, its pathophysiology remains poorly understood and controversial<sup>14-15</sup>.

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women. Surgery is the mainstay of treatment. Modified radical mastectomy with or without reconstruction or breast preservation in addition to axillary lymph node dissection are common surgical procedures in breast cancer<sup>16</sup>. Surgery of the axilla is associated with numerous complications, including infection, lymphedema of the ipsilateral upper extremity and collection of fluid in surgical site (seroma)<sup>17</sup>. Most common complication after breast cancer surgery is wound seroma<sup>18</sup>. The exact etiology of seroma formation remains controversial. Several interventions have been reported with the aim of reducing seroma formation including the use of ultrasound scissors in performing lymphadenectomy<sup>19</sup>, buttress suture, fibrin glue, fibrin sealant, bovine thrombin application, and altering surgical technique to close dead space<sup>20</sup>. However, it has been suggested that although the use of these interventions might reduce the risk of seroma formation, further studies are needed to verify the real impact on long-term morbidity of such techniques

## Conclusion

It is concluded that seroma formation after breast cancer surgery is independent of duration of drainage, compression dressing and other known prognostic factors in breast cancer patients except the type of surgery. We identified no potential risk factors other than the longer operative time and the non-use of PCA, which are both controllable factors.

## REFERENCES

1. Gonzalez EA, Saltzstein EC, Riedner CS, Nelson BK. Seroma formation following breast cancer surgery. *Breast J.* 2003;9:385–388
2. Roses DF, Brooks AD, Harris MN, Shapiro RL, Mitnick J. Complications of level I and II axillary dissection in the treatment of carcinoma of the breast. *Ann Surg.* 1999;230:194–201.
3. Woodworth PA, McBoyle MF, Helmer SD, Beamer RL. Seroma formation after breast cancer surgery: incidence and predicting factors. *Am Surg.* 2000;66:444–451.
4. Classe JM, Dupre PF, Francois T, et al. Axillary padding as an alternative to closed suction drain for ambulatory axillary lymphadenectomy: a prospective cohort of 207 patients with early breast cancer. *Arch Surg.* 2002;137:169–173.
5. Abe M, Iwase T, Takeuchi T, Murai H, Miura S. A randomized controlled trial on the prevention of seroma after partial or total mastectomy and axillary lymph node dissection. *Breast Cancer.* 1998;5:67–69.
6. Somers RG, Jablon LK, Kaplan MJ, Sandler GL, Rosenblatt NK. The use of closed suction drainage after lumpectomy and axillary node dissection for breast cancer: A

- prospective randomized trial. *Ann Surg.* 1992;215:146–149.
7. Loo WT, Chow LW. Factors predicting seroma formation after mastectomy for Chinese breast cancer patients. *Indian J Cancer.* 2007;44:99–103.
  8. Burak WE, Jr, Goodman PS, Young DC, Farrar WB. Seroma formation following axillary dissection for breast cancer: risk factors and lack of influence of bovine thrombin. *J SurgOncol.* 1997;64:27–31.
  9. Kumar S, Lal B, Misra MC. Post-mastectomy seroma: a new look into the aetiology of an old problem. *J R CollSurgEdinb.* 1995;40:292–294.
  10. Say CC, Donegan W. A biostatistical evaluation of complications from mastectomy. *SurgGynecol Obstet.* 1974;138:370–376.
  11. Browse DJ, Goble D, Jones PA. Axillary node clearance: who wants to immobilize the shoulder? *Eur J SurgOncol.* 1996;22:569–570.
  12. Medl M, Mayerhofer K, Peters-Engl C, et al. The application of fibrin glue after axillary lymphadenectomy in the surgical treatment of human breast cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 1995;15:2843–2845
  13. Petrek JA, Peters MM, Nori S, et al. Axillary lymphadenectomy. A prospective, randomized trial of 13 factors influencing drainage, including early or delayed arm mobilization. *Arch Surg.* 1990;125:378–382.
  14. Petrek JA, Peters MM, Cirrincione C, Thaler HT. A prospective randomized trial of single versus multiple drains in the axilla after lymphadenectomy. *SurgGynecol Obstet.* 1992;175:405–409.
  15. Schuijtvlot M, Sahu AK, Cawthorn SJ. A prospective audit of the use of a buttress suture to reduce seroma formation following axillary node dissection without drains. *Breast.* 11:94–96.
  16. Lumachi F, Brandes AA, Burelli P, et al. Seroma prevention following axillary dissection in patients with breast cancer by using ultrasound scissors: a prospective clinical study. *Eur J SurgOncol.* 2004;30:526–530.
  17. Uden P, Aspegren K, Balldin G, Garne JP, Larsson SA. Fibrin adhesive in radical mastectomy. *Eur J Surg.* 1993;159:263–265.
  18. Schultz I, Barholm M, Grondal S. Delayed shoulder exercises in reducing seroma frequency after modified radical mastectomy: a prospective randomized study. *Ann SurgOncol.* 1997;4:293–297
  19. Harris JR, Lippman ME, Morrow M, Osborne C. *Diseases of the breast. 2.* Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins; 2000.
  20. Dawson I, Stam L, Heslinga JM, Kalsbeck HL. Effect of shoulder immobilization on wound seroma and shoulder dysfunction following modified radical mastectomy: a randomized prospective clinical trial. *Br J Surg.* 1989;76:311–312.