

REVEALING THE NEURAL WORKING WITH A RENEWED PERCEPTIVE FOR SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

***Sangeeta M. Borde, V. B. Borde**

*MIT ACSC Department Of Computer Science, Alandi(D), Pune-412105 Maharashtra.
M.G.M.'S College C.S. & I.T. M.I.D.C. Area, Parbhani 431401 Maharashtra.

ABSTRACT:

During the fall of human from paradise, god gifted them with “free will”. “Free will” a choice to select, on his own, between what is good and what is bad. And no need to tell that who justifies this decision. Yes it's the brain. We are habitual of utilizing its maximum power, but give very less importance to its mechanism. It's my sincere effort through this paper to reveal the working of a part of our body which is one of the means of our survival. Though it's an autonomous unit through which the total body is controlled, it is not free from the effort of our genes, circumstances and the environment in which we grow up.

Keywords: Neuron, Brain Learning,

I. INTRODUCTION

As social phenomena inherently cuts a broad path through human experience, ranging from understanding oneself to the appreciation of and interactions with others, it should not be surprising that the neural systems implicated in the mediation of social behaviors are multipurpose and highly intertwined with more basic sensory-perceptual, emotional, linguistic, and cognitive components [1-2]. Both adult and developmental psychological research literatures have benefited from understanding how early childhood experiences may alter the typical developmental time course of sociocognitive functions, as in cases of acquired brain damage [3-5], developmental disorders such as autism [6-10] and Williams syndrome [11-13] or language deprivation [14-15].

People from 2nd graders to graduate students to educators—have reported how they learned to be good at something outside

school. Every group, without exception, has reported the same sequence of stages by which they learned.

A. THE NATURAL LEARNING STAGES (*compressed in 4 stages or expanded in 6 stages*)

STAGE 1: Motivation/watch, have to, shown, interest

STAGE 2: Start to Practice/practice, trial & error, ask

STAGE 3: Advanced Practice/practice, lessons, read, confidence

STAGE 4: Skillfulness/some success, enjoyment, sharing

STAGE 5: Refinement/improvement, natural, pleasure, creative

STAGE 6: Mastery/teach, recognition, higher challenges

B. How the Brain Learns

- We have about 100 billion brain nerve cells (neurons).
 - Each neuron has one axon with many tails (terminals). These axon terminals send electrochemical messages to other neurons across tiny spaces called synapses.
 - Learning creates the synaptic connections. The result is knowledge and skill constructed in our brain.
 - Each neuron has thousands of dendrites (like tree branches and twigs--“dendrite” means “tree-like”) which receive chemical-electrical messages from other neurons’ electrical messages from other neurons axons across the synapses.
 - Specific neural networks, which might include as many as 10,000 neurons, are what we know and can do.




Fig. 1: stages

C. Emotions Affect Learning

- When learners feel unconfident or nervous, certain chemicals flow into the synapses to shut them down: “Danger! No time to think! Just run away!” This is the flight reaction. Students mistakenly think they have a poor

memory, but it is their emotions that are by sabotaging them.

- When learners feel confident, different chemicals flow into the synapses that make them work quickly and well: “I can handle this.” This is the fight reaction.

D.

The Brain’s Constructive Learning Process

As a learner goes through the stages of this natural learning process, the learner’s brain constructs its neural networks from the lowest twig up.

Thus, the first lesson must help a tutee make a connection to a twig already there, to something already known.

- For example, to find out what a tutee already knows about the skill or concept, ask, “What do you know about . . . ?”
 - Or give the tutee a problem to solve or a task to do that requires some knowledge of the skill or concept.
 - Then you will know what the tutee knows and doesn’t know and you will know where to start—sometimes higher or lower than the tutee or instructor thought.
 - Like branches on a tree that can grow only from a stem or branch that is already there, so dendrites can grow only from a dendrite that is already there from something the learner already there--from something the learner already knows.
 - Then, like twigs growing on a tree, learning is constructed, higher and higher, skill and understanding increasing.




Fig. 2: Growth

- As we learn (as we experience, practice, process), specific dendrites grow so that specific neurons connect at specific synapses to create larger and more-complex specific g p p neural networks.
- These networks are what we know.
- The more we grow, the more we know, i.e., our ceiling level rises.

II. Implications

- Students who have had the opportunity to construct a foundation of the specific prerequisite dendrites for a specific skill or subject—or for school learning in general—will be able to catch on in class. They will be the A or B students the A or B students.
- Students without this opportunity, even though capable and intelligent, won't be able to catch on as easily and quickly. They will be the F, D, or C students.
- If students haven't had the opportunity to grow the foundation dendrites for a new topic or skill, they don't have the basis from which to grow—on which to connect and

construct—the dendrites for the higher levels of skill and knowledge.

- Should we judge them as incapable or of less intelligence or talent and throw them and their potential away because they never had that opportunity?
- Students from different cultures have different experiences and learn different things, grow different neural networks.
- However, we all learn by the same brain-based natural-learning process & Natural learning process.

- When both tutors and tutees have this metacognitive knowledge—of their different neural networks (knowledge) and, yet, their similar natural learning process—they are able to work together more successfully.




Fig.3.Students as Empowered, Engaged, Successful Learners

- The brain produces endorphins, the pleasure hormone, when it is learning.

- What if we had a way to help tutees, in any subject, be the motivated, engaged, natural learners they are born to be?

- When students self-evaluate how much their dendrites have grown, they see that they are in control of their learning.

- They know their learning, their ceiling level, their neural network, increases as they put in more time and effort.

B.

Using the Natural Learning Process for Active, Student Centered Learning

- For initial (maybe all) lessons, tutees should first be invited to do their own thinking and doing and then share and discuss what they thought or did with the tutor.

- The tutor can now see what might be missing.

When a prerequisite, preliminary foundation of dendrites is missing, the tutee needs to grow that foundation in order to be able to move up to understand the higher level of skill and knowledge.

- After this, the tutor might want to add something—and the students will be eager to hear and discuss it.

III.

Essential Truths about Learning and Teaching

- The brain starts all learning from where it is and constructs the new from there.

- The seven magic words that are the mating call of the brain are, “See if you can figure this out.”

- When these magic words are implicit or explicit in any lesson, the brain says, “I want

to do that!” and the learner is motivated, engaged, and empowered.

- Learning is all about empowerment.

- The brain is our survival organ. It is born to learn, is impelled to learn.

- When learners have all this invaluable metacognitive knowledge, they are empowered to be self-responsible and to have self efficacy

- When tutors have this knowledge, they can better help their tutees become the natural, motivated, successful learners they are born to be.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Computer - a technology that has borrowed its mechanism from the brain mechanism of human - better known as Neural Networking and as the members or students of this field we sincerely felt a need of understanding the brain's capacity of perception, comprehension and interpretation; to differentiate it from the computers. But faced the dilemma between computerization of the human brains or humanization of the computers. And specially the suicides of the students forced us to confront it. It seems more about computerization of the human brains than the other.

Computers mechanism is about commands and demands. The human brain mechanism is generally about choices and selections.

Computers mechanism is about executions Human brain mechanism is usually about thinking and then execution.

Computer mechanism is about algorithms. Human brain mechanism is about freedom to flourish to the maximum.

REFERENCES

1. Beer, J. S., & Ochsner, K. N. (2006). Social cognition: A multi level analysis. *Brain Research*, 1079(1), 98–105.
2. Lieberman, M. D. (2006). Social cognitive neuroscience: A review of core processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 259–289.
3. Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A. R. (1998). The human amygdala in social judgment. *Nature*, 393(6684), 470–474.
4. Damasio, A. R. (1995). On some functions of the human prefrontal cortex. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 769, 241–251.
5. Koenigs, M., Young, L., Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., Cushman, F., Hauser, M., et al. (2007). Damage to the prefrontal cortex increases utilitarian moral judgments. *Nature*, 446(7138), 908–911.
6. Amaral, D. G., Schumann, C. M., & Nordahl, C. W. (2008). Neuroanatomy of autism. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 3, 137–145.
7. Bachevalier, J., & Loveland, K. A. (2006). The orbitofrontal-amygdala circuit and self-regulation of social-emotional behavior in autism. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 30(1), 97–117.
8. Baron-Cohen, S., & Belmonte, M. K. (2005). Autism: A window onto the development of the social and the analytic brain. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 28, 109–126.
9. Frith, U. (2001). Mind blindness and the brain in autism. *Neuron*, 32(6), 969–979.
10. Oberman, L. M., & Ramachandran, V. S. (2007). The simulating social mind: The role of the mirror neuron system and simulation in the social and communicative deficits of autism spectrum disorders. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(2), 310–327.
11. Bellugi, U., Lichtenberger, L., Jones, W., Lai, Z., & St. George, M. (2000). I. The neurocognitive profile of Williams syndrome: A complex pattern of strengths and weaknesses. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12(Suppl. 1), 7–29.
12. Karmiloff-Smith, A. (2007). Williams syndrome. *Current Biology*, 17(24), R1035–R1036.
13. Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Hariri, A. R., Munoz, K. E., Mervis, C. B., Mattay, V. S., Morris, C. A., et al. (2005). Neural correlates of genetically abnormal social cognition in Williams syndrome. *Nature Neuroscience*, 8(8), 991–993.
14. Curtiss, S. (1977). *Genie: A psycholinguistic study of a modern day ‘wild child’*. New York: Academic Press.
15. Newport, E. L. (1990). Maturation constraints of language learning. *Cognitive Science*, 14(1), 11–28.

Book and site References:

- [1] Becker, Kleinsmith, Hardin. *The world of the Cell*. 5th edition (Pearson Education)
- [2] Everyone is a natural learner – Rita Smilkstein www.born2learn.net
- [3] Albright T. D., T. M. Jessell, E.R. Kandel, and M. I. Posner. *Neural Science: a century of progress and the mysteries that remain*. Cell 100 (2000): S1.
- [4] Nicholls J. G., A. R. Martin, B. G. Wallace, and P. A. Fuchs. *From Neuron to Brain*, 4th ed. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer, 2001
- [5] World of knowledge modelling – www.makhfi.com