

Duties of Editors

All the editors should be strictly binding to the editorial duties.

Publication decisions

The editor of the IJABR is responsible for final decision regarding the publication of article submitted. The editor may take views from other editors or reviewers in making final decision.

Fair play

An editor evaluates manuscripts without regard to racial background, gender, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political background of the authors.

Confidentiality

The team of IJABR and any editorial Member/staff must not disclose any data regards a submitted manuscript to other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

- Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author;
- Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage;
- Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern;
- Non-peer reviewed sections of their journal should be clearly identified.

Journal Self Citation

The editor of IJABR should never conduct any practice that obliges authors to cite his or her journal either as an implied or explicit condition of acceptance for publication. Any recommendation regarding articles to be cited in a paper should be made on the basis of direct relevance to the author's article, with the objective of improving the final published research.

The editors should direct authors to relevant literature as part of the peer review process, however this should never extend to blanket instructions to cite individual journals.

Involvement & Cooperation in Investigations

An editor(s) IJABR should take reasonably responsive action when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the publisher (or society). Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.