

POTENTIAL APPLICATION OF BACTERIA TO IMPROVE THE STRENGTH OF CEMENT CONCRETE.

C. C. Gavimath*, B. M. Mali¹, V. R. Hooli², J. D. Mallpur³, A. B. Patil⁴,
D. P. Gaddi⁵, C.R.Ternikar⁶ and B.E.Ravishankera⁷

*Dept. of Microbiology, Kankavli College, Kankavli, Maharashtra, India.

^{1&3} Dept. of Civil Engineering, ^{4,5&6} Dept. of Biotechnology,

KLES Dr. Sheshgiri College of Engineering & Technology, Belgaum, Karnataka, India

² Dept. of Biotechnology, Basaveshwar Engineering College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India.

⁷Department of Biochemistry, Shri Bhagwan Mahaveer Jain University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT :

The objective of the present investigation is to study the potential application of bacterial species i.e. *B.sphaericus* to improve the strength of cement concrete. Here we have made an attempt to incorporate dormant but viable bacteria in the concrete matrix which will contribute to the strength of the concrete. Water which enters the concrete will activate the dormant bacteria which in turn will give strength to the concrete through the process of metabolically mediated calcium carbonate precipitation. Concrete, however, is due to its high internal pH, relative dryness and lack of nutrients needed for growth, a rather hostile environment for common bacteria, but there are some extremophilic spore forming bacteria may be able to survive in this artificial environment and increase the strength and durability of cement concrete. In this study we found that incorporation of spore forming bacteria of the species *Bacillus* will not negatively affect the compressive and split tensile strength of the cement concrete.

Keyword : Concrete, *B.sphaericus*, calcium carbonate , compressive and split tensile strength.

[1] INTRODUCTION:

Concrete is the most commonly used building material, but the cracks in concrete create problem. Cracks in concrete occur due to various mechanisms such as shrinkage, freeze-thaw reactions and mechanical compressive and tensile forces. Cracking of the concrete surface may enhance the deterioration of embedded steel bars as ingress rate of corrosive chemicals such as water and chloride ions in to the concrete structure increased. Therefore a novel technique has been

developed by using a selective microbial plugging process, in which microbial metabolic activities promote calcium carbonate (calcite) precipitation., this technique is referred as Microbiologically Enhanced Crack Remediation (MECR) [1]. In this technique urolytic bacteria are used hence the concrete is called Bacterial concrete [2].

The "Bacterial concrete" can be prepared by adding spore forming bacteria in the concrete that are able to continuously precipitate calcite, this

process of production of calcite precipitation is called Microbiologically Induced Calcite Precipitation (MICP). *B.sphaericus* is used to induce calcite precipitation in concrete. The basic principle for this process is that the microbial urease hydrolyzes urea to produce ammonia and carbon dioxide and the ammonia released in surrounding subsequently increases pH, leading to accumulation of insoluble calcium carbonate. Bacterial cultures improve the strength of cement sand mortar [3] and crack repair on surfaces of concrete structures [4].

Calcium carbonate precipitation, a metabolic process which occurs in some bacteria, has been investigated due to its wide range of scientific and technological implications. Calcite formation by *Bacillus* species is used in making bioconcrete, which can produce calcite precipitates on suitable media supplemented with a calcium source. The basic principles for this application are that the microbial urease hydrolyzes urea to produce ammonia and carbon dioxide and the ammonia released in surroundings subsequently increases pH, leading to accumulation of insoluble calcium carbonate [5].

Bacterial spores are specialized cells which can endure extreme mechanical and chemical stresses and spores of this specific genus are known to remain viable for up to 200 years. Spores are dormant but viable bacterial spores immobilized in the concrete matrix will become metabolically active when revived by water entering freshly into the concrete. These cracks will subsequently be rapidly plugged and sealed through metabolically mediated microbial calcium carbonate precipitation, hampering further ingress of water and other chemicals. As revived bacteria also need a suitable substrate that can metabolically be converted to calcium carbonate, this also needs to be part of the concrete compatibility [6]. Durability studies carried out in the investigation through acid attack test with 5% sulphuric acid revealed that bacterial concrete is more durable in terms of “Acid Durability Factor” than conventional concrete and bacterial concrete

is less attacked in terms of “Acid Attack Factor” than conventional concrete [7]. Previous research has shown that *Bacillus sphaericus* bacteria are able to precipitate calcium carbonate on their cell constituents and in their micro-environment by conversion of urea in to ammonium and carbonate. The bacterial degradation of urea locally increases the pH and promotes the microbial deposition of calcium carbonate in a calcium rich environment [8]. Through this process, the bacterial cell is coated with a layer of calcium carbonate [9].

[2] MATERIALS AND METHODS

The following are the details of the materials used for concrete making.

Sand: Natural river sand well graded passing through 4.75mm sieve was used to find the compressive strength of cement mortar cubes and cylinders.

Cement: Portland cement of 43 grade available in local market is used in the investigation. The cement used has been tested for various properties as per IS: 4031-1988 and found to be conforming to various specifications of IS:12269-1987 having specific gravity of 3.15.

Aggregate: Crushed aggregates of well graded igneous rocks.

Water: Locally available river water conforming to IS 456 is used.

Bacterial strains: Pure cultures were maintained on nutrient agar slants and were preserved under refrigeration until further use, sub-culturing was carried out for every 30 days. Contamination from other bacteria was checked periodically by streaking on nutrient agar plates. Whenever required, few colonies of the pure culture is inoculated into nutrient broth of 25ml in 100ml conical flask and the growth condition are maintained at 37⁰C temperature and placed in 125 rpm orbital shaker.

Tests on Aggregate: The coarse aggregate of 20mm and down size is tested as per IS:2386-1963 and properties like Specific gravity = 2.923, Water absorption = 1.96% and Bulk density = 1.615.

Test on Sand: The sand is tested as per IS:2386(Part III) -1963 and specific gravity 2.92.

Test on Cement: Cement has been tested as per IS: 4031-1988 and properties like specific gravity = 3.15, Consistency = 32%, Initial setting time 95 minutes and Final setting time 215 minutes.

Estimation of Calcium carbonate from Bacterial culture: Using the standard graph our bacterial test sample value was generated by carrying titration with EDTA. This was alkalized by using ammonia buffer. End point was obtained by using EBT indicator, which turns steel blue color from reddish pink color. Confirmation of calcium carbonate precipitation in the culture was done using laser Raman spectroscopy [10].

Preparation of specimen for compressive and split tensile test: The cubes and cylinders were prepared for concrete mix with and without addition of microorganisms. The size of the cubes and cylinders were taken as 100mm x 100mm x 100mm and 100mm diameter 200mm height respectively. Cubes and cylinders were prepared in a standard manner according to Indian specifications. The cubes and cylinders were demoulded after 24 hours and subsequently cured in a water bath for 28 days. Total number of 18 cubes and 18 cylinders were casted using bacteria *B. sphaericus*.

[3] RESULTS:

The cubes and cylinders have been tested as per IS specifications. The compressive test and split tensile tests were carried out both on conventional and bacterial concrete specimens. The conventional and bacterial concrete cube specimens after casting were cured for 28 days in the water bath and were tested in compression testing machine. From the tests, it was observed that the concrete specimens prepared by incorporating the micro-organisms yielded higher strength as compared to the conventional concrete. The results of the compressive test on conventional and bacterial concrete is indicated in Table 1. The results of compressive test with and without addition of *B.sphaericus* is shown in Table

2. From the observation it is revealed that there is an increase in compressive strength of 30.76%, 46.15% and 32.21% at 3rd, 7th and 28th day respectively while using *B.sphaericus* bacteria compared to conventional concrete.

Split tensile test: The conventional and bacterial concrete cylinder specimens after casting were cured for 28 days in the water bath and were tested in compression testing machine as per Indian Standards. From the tests, it was observed that, the concrete specimens prepared by incorporating the micro-organisms yielded higher tensile strength as compared to the conventional concrete. The result of split tensile test with and without addition of *B.sphaericus* is shown in Table 3. It can be observed that there is an increase in split tensile strength of 13.75%, 14.28% and 18.35% at 3rd, 7th and 28th day respectively when *B.sphaericus* bacteria are used and compared with conventional concrete.

[4] DISCUSSION:

The main objective of this study was to investigate whether bacteria can potentially act as a self healing agent in concrete. The bacteria tested are known to be alkali resistant i.e. they grow in natural environments characterised by a relatively high pH (10-11). In addition, these strains can produce spores which are resting cells with sturdy cell walls that protect from extreme environmental mechanical and chemical stresses. Therefore these specific bacteria may have the potential to resist the high internal concrete pH values (12-13 for Portland cement based concrete), and remain viable for a long time as well, as spore viability for up to 200 years is documented [6].

A dormant (alive but not growing) and viable (capable of working successfully) micro-organism of certain number is induced in concrete during mixing. Bacterial spores immobilized in the concrete matrix will become metabolically active when revived by water and calcium media of concrete. The hollow space (microscopic level) will subsequently be rapidly plugged and sealed through metabolically mediated microbial calcium

carbonate precipitation, hampering further ingress of water and other chemicals. The microorganism hydrolyzes urea to produce ammonia and carbon dioxide, resulting in an increase of pH in the surroundings where ions Ca^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} precipitate as CaCO_3 . Possible biochemical reactions in medium to precipitate CaCO_3 at the cell surface that provides a nucleation site.

[5] CONCLUSION:

We conclude that concrete-immobilized spores of such bacteria may be able to seal cracks by biomineral formation after being revived by water and growth nutrients entering freshly formed cracks, hence the application of bacteria will improve the strength and durability of cement concrete therefore it appears promising field in near future.

[6] REFERENCES:

1.Meldrum F.C. [2003] "Calcium carbonate in biomineralisation" *Biomimetic chemistry*, 48, 187-224.
 2.Dick J., De Windt W., De Graef B., Saveyn H., Van der Meeren P., De Belie N., Verstraete W. [2006]. "Bio-deposition of a calcium carbonate layer on

degraded limestone by *Bacillus* species" *Biodegradation*, 17 (4), 354-367.
 3.Ghosh P., Mandal S., Chattopadhyay B.D., Pal S. [2005]. "Use of microorganism to improve the strength of cement mortar" *Cement and Concrete Research* 35(10), 1980-1983.
 4. Ramachandran S.K., Ramakrishnan V., Bang S.S. [2001]. "Remediation of concrete using microorganisms *ACI Material Journal*, 98, 3-9.
 5. Ramakrishnan V., Ramesh K.P., Bang S.S., [2010]. "Improvement of concrete durability by bacterial mineral precipitation" *Proceedings of ICR*, 11, Torino, Italy.
 6.Schlegel H.G. [1993]. *General microbiology*, 7th edition, Cambridge University Press.
 7. Sunil Pratap Reddy S., Sheshagiri Rao M.V., Aparna P., Sasikala C.H., [2010]. "Performace of standard grade bacterial concrete" *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 11(1), 43-45.
 8. Wang J.Y., Van Tittelboom K., De Belie N.M., Verstraete W. [2010]. "Potential of applying Bacteria to heal cracks in concrete" *Second International conference on sustainable construction materials and technologies*.
 9. Dick J., De Windt W., De Graef B., Saveyn H., Vander Meeren P., De Belie N., Verstraete W., [2006]. "Bio-deposition of calcium carbonate layer on degraded limestone by *Bacillus* species" *Biodegradation* 17 (4):357-367.
 10. Chan S.S., Waches I.E., Murrell L.L. [1984]. "In-Situ Laser Raman Spectroscopy of supported Metal Oxides" *J. Physics and Chemistry*, 88, 5831-5835.

Table 1. Results of the compressive test with and without addition of microorganisms.

Sr No.	Type of Bacteria	Compressive strength	% increase
1.	Without bacteria	23.94	-
2.	<i>B. sphaericus</i>	36.28	+51.54

Table 2. Results of the compressive tests with and without addition of *B.sphaericus*.

No. of days	Compressive strength of conventional concrete cubes, N/mm ²	Compressive strength of <i>B.sphaericus</i> concrete cubes, N/mm ²	% increase in strength
3	19.24	25.16	30.76
7	23.66	34.58	46.15
28	34.52	45.72	32.21

Table 3. Results of the split tensile test with and without addition of *B. sphaericus*.

No. of days	Split tensile strength of conventional concrete cylinders, N/mm ²	Split tensile strength <i>B. sphaericus</i> concrete cubes, N/mm ²	% increase in strength
3	3.78	4.30	13.75
7	4.62	5.28	14.28
28	4.85	5.74	18.35